

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,697	MIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Vo	1771	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 80

 (1) Hai Vo.

(3) _____.

 (2) T. Daniel Christenbury.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2007
Time: 11:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agrees to amend claim 13 in a manner as suggested by the examiner to place the instant claims in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hai Vo

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)